

A-D Test Statistic				0.266	Anderson-Darling GOF Test				
5% A-D Critical Value				0.635	Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level				
K-S Test Statistic				0.237	Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF				
5% K-S Critical Value				0.431	Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level				
Detected Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level									
Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only									
k hat (MLE)				105.6	k star (bias corrected MLE)			N/A	
Theta hat (MLE)				0.00319	Theta star (bias corrected MLE)			N/A	
nu hat (MLE)				633.3	nu star (bias corrected)			N/A	
Mean (detects)				0.337					
Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects									
GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs									
GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20)									
For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs									
This is especially true when the sample size is small.									
For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates									
Minimum				0.196	Mean			0.288	
Maximum				0.38	Median			0.294	
SD				0.0655	CV			0.227	
k hat (MLE)				22.19	k star (bias corrected MLE)			11.21	
Theta hat (MLE)				0.013	Theta star (bias corrected MLE)			0.0257	
nu hat (MLE)				266.3	nu star (bias corrected)			134.5	
Adjusted Level of Significance (β)				0.0122					
Approximate Chi Square Value (134.49, α)				108.7	Adjusted Chi Square Value (134.49, β)			100.3	
95% Gamma Approximate UCL				0.357	95% Gamma Adjusted UCL			N/A	
Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates									
Mean (KM)				0.282	SD (KM)			0.0717	
Variance (KM)				0.00514	SE of Mean (KM)			0.0393	
k hat (KM)				15.48	k star (KM)			7.853	
nu hat (KM)				185.8	nu star (KM)			94.24	
theta hat (KM)				0.0182	theta star (KM)			0.0359	
80% gamma percentile (KM)				0.361	90% gamma percentile (KM)			0.416	
95% gamma percentile (KM)				0.465	99% gamma percentile (KM)			0.567	
Gamma Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics									
Approximate Chi Square Value (94.24, α)				72.85	Adjusted Chi Square Value (94.24, β)			66.08	
95% KM Approximate Gamma UCL				0.365	95% KM Adjusted Gamma UCL			0.402	

Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only										
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic			0.988	Shapiro Wilk GOF Test						
10% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value			0.789	Detected Data appear Lognormal at 10% Significance Level						
Lilliefors Test Statistic			0.218	Lilliefors GOF Test						
10% Lilliefors Critical Value			0.389	Detected Data appear Lognormal at 10% Significance Level						
Detected Data appear Lognormal at 10% Significance Level										
Note GOF tests may be unreliable for small sample sizes										
Lognormal ROS Statistics Using Imputed Non-Detects										
Mean in Original Scale			0.294	Mean in Log Scale					-1.242	
SD in Original Scale			0.0583	SD in Log Scale					0.201	
95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data)			0.342	95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL					0.33	
95% BCA Bootstrap UCL			0.329	95% Bootstrap t UCL					0.345	
95% H-UCL (Log ROS)			0.355							
Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution										
KM Mean (logged)			-1.3	KM Geo Mean					0.273	
KM SD (logged)			0.264	95% Critical H Value (KM-Log)					2.166	
KM Standard Error of Mean (logged)			0.144	95% H-UCL (KM -Log)					0.364	
KM SD (logged)			0.264	95% Critical H Value (KM-Log)					2.166	
KM Standard Error of Mean (logged)			0.144							
DL/2 Statistics										
DL/2 Normal				DL/2 Log-Transformed						
Mean in Original Scale			0.241	Mean in Log Scale					-1.556	
SD in Original Scale			0.119	SD in Log Scale					0.599	
95% t UCL (Assumes normality)			0.339	95% H-Stat UCL					0.548	
DL/2 is not a recommended method, provided for comparisons and historical reasons										
Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics										
Detected Data appear Normal Distributed at 1% Significance Level										
Suggested UCL to Use										
95% KM (t) UCL			0.361							
Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL.										
Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness using results from simulation studies.										
However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician.										